

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,300	06/14/2002	Vitale Bruzzo	16791-2	4542
7590 11/08/2004		EXAMINER		
Clifford W Bro	Clifford W Browning		JIANG, CHEN WEN	
Woodard Emhai	rdt Naughton Moriarty &	Mcnett		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

Woodard Emhardt Naughton Moriarty & Mcnett Bank One Center Tower 111 Monument Circle Suite 3700 Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137

3744

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$M \sim 100$		
Advisory Action	10/070,300	BRUZZO, VITALE	10,		
, and y , touch	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the supplication of the supplication are the supplication of the s	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period of the control of the c	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate	ee MPEP		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: 13.					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7 and 9-12</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	CHE PR <i>Mil</i>	N WENLIANG LEY EXAMINEE			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The remarks (10/12/04) do not overcome the Final Rejection (see col.3, lines 62-68 of Lau et al. '013).